

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/510,938		02/22/2000	Paul S Murray	1004-4085	1004-4085 2969	
32658	7590	08/22/2003				
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP				EXAMINER		
ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 1200 SEVENTEEN ST. DENVER, CO 80202				DESTA,	DESTA, ELIAS	
DENVER,	CO 80202	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2857		
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/510,938	MURRAY ET AL.
·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elias Desta	2857
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:	•	
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>21 March</u>	<u>2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		Manstoff MARCS. HOFF
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2860



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the failure rate (Lambda) in the reliability equation provides a frequency variable that measures failure per given time and since the measurement is taken during the life of a particular operation of a mechanical device or manchinary, then the variables noted earlier inherently provide a means for direct measurement (see Barringer, page 7, description of the reliability factor, indicia, such as Lambda, and time (t), etc)